Structuring Computation for Privacy-Preserving Apps

Wei Dai @_weidai Bain Capital Crypto April 21, 2022 Do you have prior knowledge of zero-knowledge proofs?

Zero-Knowledge Proofs (ZKPs)

C - arithmetic circuit, "program execution" x – public input, w – secret witness

 π for x ~ "I know w such that C(x, w) = 1"



Typical provers: User wallets, proving services Typical verifiers: Chains, EVM contracts

Properties

- Succinct: π is short, verifier runtime is "small"
- Non-interactive: Only one message from P to V
- Transparent: No trusted setup
- Universal: No per-circuit trusted setup

Security

- Completeness: It works!
- Zero knowledge: Verifier learns nothing about w
- Knowledge soundness: Prover knows w

History

- Studied since the late 1980s
- Recent explosion, due to Z{ero}cash , Groth16, Sonic, Marlin, Plonk, ...

Do ZKPs solve all privacy problems for blockchain apps? (Think Uniswap, Aave, NFT auction)

No.

Agenda of this talk

1. **ZK** is in contention with on-chain composability and shared states.

2. **ZK** for private states, **transparent compute for shared states**.

3. Threshold FHE for on-chain confidential compute on shared state.

4. Framework to program **transparent**, **ZK**, **FHE** computation.



(Public) State Machines



ZK State Machines Execution (Zexe / Aleo / Mina Snaps)



Problem: **shared state** give rise to **race conditions**.



Only one state update can be performed.

ZKP smart contracts do not support shared application state due to race conditions

On-chain vs off-chain apps



Scalability Privacy Default composability "Full-ZK" Apps



Structuring computation: Transparent vs ZK

Contract MyContract: public st DoStuff(cm, π):	Contract ZCashOrchard: public MT // Insert-only Merkle tree public NS // nullifiers	Contract AleoApp: public st // record Update(st, st', π): Update.verify()	
RangeCheck.verify()	Process(tx, π): Action.verify(MT.rt, tx, nf; π) Assert(nf ∉ NS) Ins(tx, MT); Ins(nf, NS)		
• Off-chain	Can be made "composable": Aztec Connect, FLAX,		
ZKCirc RangeCheck(cm; x, r): Assert (cm = Commit(x; r) Assert (x < k)	ZKCirc Action(rt, tx, nf; sk,): "tx is valid spend against rt" "tx declare correct value change" "tx declare correct nf"	ZKCirc Update(st, st'; x): Assert (st' = f(st, x))	

ZKP touches no contract state

New state does not invalid old proofs

ZKP re-write contract state

Agenda of this talk

1. **ZK** is in contention with on-chain composability and shared states.

2. **ZK** for private states, **transparent compute for shared states**.

3. Threshold FHE for on-chain confidential compute on shared state.

4. Framework to program **transparent**, **ZK**, **FHE** computation.



Third type of computation?

Replicated on-chain No privacy Shared state ZK off-chain Supports private state and inputs No shared state

Private input to confidential shared state? Same trust assumption as consensus?

A: YES! w/ Multi-party computation (MPC) or Threshold Fully Homomorphic Encryption (FHE)

Fully Homomorphic Encryption



- FHE [Gentry09]: C is any circuit
 - Active area of R&D in academia and industry. Efficiency improving.
 - Many variants: leveled [GSW, FV, BGV], per-gate bootstrapping [FHEW, TFHE]
 - "Current" state-of-the-art for binary FHE 2^{~12} binary gates (xnor, mux) per second on GPU [cuFHE, nuFHE].

Threshold Cryptography

Liveness holds if k out of n servers cooperate

No security broken even if k - 1 servers collude



Threshold cryptography particularly applicable to blockchains / BFT protocols w/ k ~ 2n/3.

Threshold signatures

Dfinity: "Chain key cryptography"

Threshold encryption / decryption

Anoma/Ferveo Penumbra

Biconomy, Webb, Lit, ...

We know of protocols to maintain "Shamir threshold secret shares" among a dynamic set of nodes.

- Distributed key generation [DYXMK21, Groth21]
- Dynamic proactive secret-sharing [MZWLZJS19, GKMPS21, Groth21]

FHE with Threshold Decryption



- Achievable with Shamir secret shares
 - Generic lattice-based construction [BGGJKRS17] (ePrint:2017/956), "inefficient"
- Why? Consensus-based, programmable selective information disclosure
 - AMM spot price
 - Trade validity

State Machines with Threshold Decryption



Decrypt part of the encrypted state est that is explicitly marked for decryption.

Can be replicated by any BFT-type consensus algorithm.

- Decryption available with a delay
- For privacy and safety, decryption => finalization

Rest of the talk: Assume a BFT-type blockchain system with **fixed FHE public key pk** that can replicate state machine with threshold decryption.

Q1: How to program this state machine? Q2: Why is this useful?

Types of Computation

Transparent On-chain		ZK Off-chain		Confidential On-Chain	
EVM	Solidity	Groth16	Bellman Circom ZoKrates	MPC	SEAL Palisade Concrete
Wasm	Rust 	Sonic Marlin	Arkworks ZoKrates	FHE	
Substrate ABCI		STARK / Plonk	Aztec ZK-Garage Halo2 Plonky{2} Jellyfish	Support Shamir FHEW GSW 	•

RiscO

Towards a Unified Framework: PESCA



Expressive Programming Framework

```
Contract ExampeContract:

Public Func ProcessA(input): // executed on-chain

ValidateA.verify( input, π )

state' = ComputeOverA( enc_state, input )

Async d = ThDec():

...
```

```
User Func GenerateA(): // executed off-chain
input = ...
π = ValidataA.prove(input; ...)
```

ZK Circuit ValidateA(): // proved off-chain, verified on-chain

```
FHE Circuit ComputeOverA(): // executed on-chain
```

Rest of the Talk: Privacy-preserving CFMM and Auctions



Token with composable private usage

Idea: modify existing ZCash orchard design: value commitment => value encryption.

Contract ShieldedToken:

public MT, NS // Merkle tree of notes and nullifier set

```
ZK Circuit Action(tx; ...):
```

v = ... Assert (tx.ev == FHE.Enc_{pk}(v, r))

Private Func Process (tx, π):

Action.verify(tx; π)

"Add spent notes nullifiers to NS"

"Add new notes commitment to MT"

User Func GenerateAction():

tx = ... π = ValidataA.prove(tx; ...)

Constant Function Market Makers



Privacy-preserving: trade origins and amounts are not revealed.

Information leakage:

- # of trade requests executed / dropped
- Spot price that is released programmatically

Privacy-preserving CFMM

Contract CFMM *extends* ShieldedToken:

private est // FHE encrypted state encrypting reserves (x, y)

```
FHE Circuit Trade( (x, y), (dx, dy) ):

If (x + dx)(y + dy) \ge xy then Return ((x + dx, y + dy), 1)

Else Return ((x, y), 0)
```



Preventing malicious decryptions



Attack: want to decrypt est, make new contract C and program C to release est.

Mitigation: FHE initial states and all FHE input needs **accompanying ZKPs** particular to each contract.

Contract FHEBase: InitFHEState(est, πs): // FHE states must be initialized via this method for each (eb, π) in zip(est, πs): InitCheck.verify((this, eb), π) ZK Circuit InitCheck(ContractID, eb; b, r):

Assert (eb = FHE.Enc_{pk}(b; r))

Privacy-preserving Sealed-bid Auctions



Sealed-bid: Bids not revealed to other bidders

Privacy-preserving: bids not revealed, to anyone, even after the auction is over.

Information leakage:

- Item seller learns settling price.
- Auction winner obtains item.
- All other bidders only learn that they did not win.

Privacy-preserving Sealed-bid Auctions

Contract FPSBA *extends ShieldedToken*:

private emax, ej // FHE encrypted state encrypting max_bid and winner index

Pub Func Setup(emax, ej): j = 0; "state initiation checks" Pub Func Bid(bid, refund, payout): j += 1; "balance checks"; Process(bid) (emax, ej) = FHE.Eval(Bid[j], (emax, ej), bid.ev) Pub Func Finalize(): Async j = ThDec(ej): Process(payout_i)

∀ i ≠ j: Process(refund_i)



Closing Remarks

- Paper on PESCA to appear.
- We are **hiring**! If you are interested in benchmarking and implementation of ZK, FHE, or threshold cryptography, contact me!